and Bladder, Inflammation of bladder, scalding urine and brick-dust sediment. Backache, sharp shooting pains in back, weakness * * * Liver * * * headache, sallow complexion, constipation and dizziness, yellow eyeballs, jaundice, sour stomach, variable appetite and generally out-of-sorts condition. * * * * Chronic liver ailments * * * *. Catarrh * * * Deep-seated catarrhal affection whether of the head or stomach * * * Grippe, Influenza or epidemic catarrh * * * The Blood * * * a great blood stimulator * * * to remove impurities of the blood * * * * Malaria, Chills and Fever * * * Piles * * * *'

Analysis of a sample of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that it consisted of tablets composed essentially of aloes, acid resins, licorice, uva ursi, and probably buchu.

Misbranding of the article was alleged in substance in the libel and amended libel for the reason that the statements aforesaid, regarding the curative and therapeutic effect thereof, were false and fraudulent in that the article contained no ingredient or combination of ingredients capable of producing the effects claimed.

On November 4, 1919, the Alonzo O. Bliss Medical Co., Washington, D. C., claimant, having consented to a decree, judgment of condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be released to said claimant upon payment of the costs of the proceedings and the execution of a bond in the sum of \$150, in conformity with section 10 of the act.

E. D. Ball, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

8529. Misbranding of cottonseed meal. U. S. * * * Robert Lee Batte (Cameron Cotton Oil Co.). Plea of guilty. Fine, \$25. (F. & D. No. 11342. I. S. No. 10685-r.)

On December 20, 1919, the United States attorney for the Western District of Texas, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district an information against Robert Lee Batte, trading as the Cameron Cotton Oil Co., Cameron, Tex., alleging shipment by said defendant, in violation of the Food and Drugs Act, on or about September 25, 1918, from the State of Texas into the State of Indiana, of a quantity of cottonseed meal which was misbranded.

Analysis of a sample of the article by the Bureau of Chemistry of this department showed that it contained 39.11 per cent of protein.

Misbranding of the article was alleged in substance in the information for the reason that the statement appearing upon the label, to wit, "Protein not less than 43 per cent," was false and misleading in that it represented to purchasers thereof that the article contained not less than 43 per cent of protein, and for the further reason that the article was labeled as aforesaid so as to deceive and mislead the purchasers into the belief that it contained not less than 43 per cent of protein, whereas, in fact and in truth, it contained less than 43 per cent of protein.

On February 23, 1920, the defendant entered a plea of guilty to the information, and the court imposed a fine of \$25.

E. D. Ball, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

8530. Misbranding of "3 Days" Cure. U.S. * * * v.50 Rottles of "3 Days" Cure. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 10394. I. S. No. 15745-r. S. No. E-1430.)

On May 20, 1919, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Virginia, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel for the seizure and condemnation of 50 bottles of "3 Days" Cure, remaining in the original unbroken packages at Richmond, Va., alleging that the article had been shipped by the "3 Days" Cure Co., Washington, D. C., on or about March 31, 1919, and transported from the District of Columbia into the State of Virginia, and charging misbranding in violation of the Food and Drugs